An objective method is developed to identify concentric eyewalls (CEs) for typhoons using passive microwave satellite imagery from 1997 to 2011 in the western North Pacific basin. Three CE types are identified: a CE with an eyewall replacement cycle (ERC; 37 cases), a CE with no replacement cycle (NRC; 17 cases), and a CE that is maintained for an extended period (CEM; 16 cases). The inner eyewall (outer eyewall) of the ERC (NRC) type dissipates within 20 h after CE formation. The CEM type has its CE structure maintained for more than 20 h (mean duration time is 31 h). Structural and intensity changes of CE typhoons are demonstrated using a $T$–$V_{\text{max}}$ diagram (where $T$ is the brightness temperature and $V_{\text{max}}$ is the best-track estimated intensity) for a time sequence of the intensity and convective activity (CA) relationship. While the intensity of typhoons in the ERC and CEM cases weakens after CE formation, the CA is maintained or increases. In contrast, the CA weakens in the NRC cases. The NRC (CEM) cases typically have fast (slow) northward translational speeds and encounter large (small) vertical shear and low (high) sea surface temperatures. The CEM cases have a relatively high intensity ($63 \text{ m s}^{-1}$), and the moat size (61 km) and outer eyewall width (70 km) are approximately 50% larger than the other two categories. Both the internal dynamics and environmental conditions are important in the CEM cases, while the NRC cases are heavily influenced by the environment. The ERC cases may be dominated by the internal dynamics because of more uniform environmental conditions.

1. Introduction

One of the great challenges associated with tropical cyclone (TC) prediction is the large variability in structure and intensity changes. One dynamical mechanism known to produce such variability is the concentric eyewall (CE) formation and the eyewall replacement cycle (ERC) in TCs (Willoughby et al. 1982; Black and Willoughby 1992; Kuo et al. 2009; Sitkowski et al. 2011). Strong TCs are often observed to have a CE structure that has an inner eyewall and an outer eyewall separated by a convective minimum region, the moat (Willoughby et al. 1982). The ERC is defined as the time period from the formation of the secondary eyewall, to its contraction, and finally to the replacement of the original decaying inner eyewall. Willoughby et al. (1982) investigated the ERC dynamics. Their results suggested that a local tangential wind maximum is associated with the outer eyewall and the most rapid increase in wind speed lies on the inward side of the wind maximum. The outer wind maximum thus contracts and intensifies and the inner eyewall weakens and eventually vanishes. Previous observational studies also indicate that the secondary eyewall can act as a barrier to the moisture inflow to the inner eyewall (e.g., Barnes et al. 1983; Barnes and Powell 1995; Samsury and Zipser 1995). The ERC time scale in numerical models such as Terwey and Montgomery (2008), Qiu et al. (2010), and Zhou and Wang (2009) are in the range of 6–18 h. The radar observations of Typhoon Lekima (Kuo et al. 2004) indicated that the ERC time is approximately 6 h. Many theories allude to the influences of both synoptic-scale environmental conditions and mesoscale processes in CE formation. Both
the internal and external dynamics may play important roles in CE formation. Nong and Emanuel (2003) showed that the CE may form because of favorable ambient environmental conditions or external forcing and a wind-induced surface heat exchange (WISHE) instability. Examples of internal dynamics include propagating vortex Rossby waves that interact with a critical radius (Montgomery and Kallenbach 1997; Peng et al. 2009) and axisymmetrization during a binary vortex interaction (Kuo et al. 2004, 2008). The binary vortex interaction dynamics highlight the axisymmetrization of finite-area positive vorticity perturbations around a strong and tight core to produce the CE structure. Kuo et al. (2008) further suggested that vorticity skirts outside the TC core may produce various sizes of the CE through the mechanism of binary vortex interactions. This may explain satellite microwave observations showing a wide range of radii for CE. Terwey and Montgomery (2008) proposed the existence of a region with moderate horizontal strain deformation and a sufficient low-level radial potential vorticity gradient associated with the primary swirling flow, moist convective potential, and a wind-moisture feedback process at the air–sea interface to form the secondary eyewall. Recently, Huang et al. (2012) suggested that the broadening of the radial tangential wind profile above the boundary layer in a symmetric fashion can lead to boundary layer convergence and inflow. The progressive strengthening of the boundary layer inflow, and the unbalanced boundary layer response, may lead to secondary eyewall formation.

Sitkowski et al. (2011) used flight-level data to study the ERC process in the Atlantic basin. They suggested that the ERC time requirement, the intensity change, and the radii change have large variances. The ERC of individual storms can deviate greatly from the mean characteristics. The key features of CE are not only associated with intensity but also structural changes. Maclay et al. (2008) used the low-level area-integrated kinetic energy to show that while the intensity weakens during the ERC, the integrated kinetic energy and the TC size increases. Their results suggest that secondary eyewall formation and ERC are dominated by internal dynamical processes. The intensity and structural changes can best be demonstrated by the $K$–$V_{\text{max}}$ diagram (Maclay et al. 2008); a diagram in which the ordinate is the integrated kinetic energy deviation inside a radius of 200 km and the abscissa is the maximum tangential wind. The deviation is calculated from an areal kinetic energy regression with intensity for TC in Atlantic basin. Thus, the $K$–$V_{\text{max}}$ diagrams give not only the areal kinetic energy change in the secondary eyewall formation but also the kinetic energy difference as compared to the typical storms.\footnote{Musgrave et al. (2012) use a similar $K$–$V_{\text{max}}$ diagram in which the ordinate is the integrated kinetic energy inside a radius of 1000 km and the abscissa is the maximum tangential wind.} The areal kinetic energy is typically calculated from the aircraft reconnaissance data. Both Maclay et al. (2008) and Sitkowski et al. (2011) indicated that the ERC is a primary mechanism for storm growth, with both the integrated kinetic energy and the TC size increasing during the process.

The availability of passive microwave data in the last decade has made it possible to reveal more clearly the CE structure in TCs, which had not been previously possible with visible and infrared satellite data. Using passive microwave data between 1997 and 2002, Hawkins and Helveston (2004) suggested that CEs exist with a much higher percentage (i.e., 80%) in intense TCs $[\text{maximum wind} > 120\text{ kt} (\sim 62\text{ m}\text{s}^{-1})]$ than previously realized in the western North Pacific basin. As further noted by Hawkins et al. (2006), there were many more CE cases with large radius in the western Pacific than in other basins. Kuo et al. (2009) studied the intensity change and moat dynamics of CE typhoons using passive microwave data and best-track data in the western North Pacific basin between 1997 and 2006. Their results indicate that only half (51%) of CE formation cases follow the intensity change pattern of the ERC, where the intensity increases 24 h before CE formation and then weakens in the next 24 h. The rest of the cases do not follow the ERC—they often possess a larger moat size and their inner eyewalls are still identifiable for 24 h or longer.

Hawkins and Helveston (2008) gave examples of different modes of CE structure, including the ERC (Black and Willoughby 1992), triple eyewalls (McNoldy 2004), ERCs that are repeated multiple times, ERCs that are interrupted by vertical shear and landfall, and cases where an outer eyewall forms at a large radius and remains in a CE structure for a long period of time. The different CE modes appear to have profound impacts on intensity and structural forecasts and warrant more quantitative analysis and documentation. The purpose of the present study is to quantitatively examine these structural and intensity changes of CE typhoons in the western North Pacific basin in an objective manner. Microwave satellite imagery, best-track data from the Joint Typhoon Warning Center (JTWC), and data from the Statistical Typhoon Intensity Prediction Scheme (STIPS; Knaff et al. 2005) are used between 1997 and 2011 for this study. The development of the STIPS model closely follows the development of the Statistical Hurricane Intensity Prediction Scheme (SHIPS).
model in the Atlantic and east Pacific tropical cyclone basins as described in DeMaria and Kaplan (1999) and DeMaria et al. (2005). An objective method is developed to identify typhoons with a CE and then categorize the CEs in a systematic manner. The data and methodology are presented in section 2. The observed structural and intensity changes of the different CE classifications are presented in section 3. The environmental factors and the analysis of structural characteristics such as the moat width and outer eyewall width are presented in section 4. Finally, the conclusions are provided in section 5.

2. Data and methodology

a. Data source

Microwave satellite data are used to examine the characteristics of typhoons with CEs in the western North Pacific basin between 1997 and 2011. The microwave satellite data used are the passive Special Sensor Microwave Imager (SSM/I) 85-GHz horizontal polarized orbital imagery and passive Tropical Rainfall Measuring Mission (TRMM) Microwave Imager (TMI) data from the polar-orbiting TRMM satellite (Kummerow et al. 1998). These data were obtained from the Naval Research Laboratory (NRL) Marine Meteorology Division in Monterey, California (Hawkins et al. 2001). The swath width of the TMI data (759 km) generally is smaller than the SSM/I data (1394 km) but the resolution (5–37 km) is higher than the SSM/I data (12.5–50 km; Kidder et al. 2000). In the microwave data, the 85.5-GHz channel was used to identify CEs because it is a good indicator for ice above the freezing level in tropical deep convection. For example, Spencer et al. (1989) showed that the blackbody brightness temperature \( T_B \) of the Advanced Microwave Moisture Sounder (AMMS) matches the rain intensity of an ER-2 reconnaissance flight. A good correlation between the 19.35-GHz \( T_B \) warming (dominated by rain emission below the freezing level) and 85.5-GHz \( T_B \) cooling (dominated by ice scattering above the freezing level) was obtained by the SSM/I in tropical oceanic precipitation systems.

In addition to SSM/I and TMI data, the Advanced Microwave Scanning Radiometer for Earth Observing System (AMSR-E) data are available starting from October 2003 and Special Sensor Microwave Imager/Sounder (SSM/IS) data from August 2005. The data length is shorter than that of the SSM/I and TMI data. Moreover, neither have 85 GHz and the brightness temperature changes may vary by 8–11 K when converted from 89 to 91 GHz. To give a 15-yr comprehensive study for the CE climatology in a consistent manner, we currently concentrate on the SSM/I and TMI data.

The Dvorak technique is currently used by JTWC to estimate TC intensity. The JTWC also use the Geostationary Meteorological Satellite (GMS) infrared and visible cloud images to estimate intensity in the best-track data. The maximum 1-min sustained wind speed was derived using the Dvorak \( T \) number. The individual \( T \) number represents a typical intensity change based on climatology. The Advanced Dvorak Technique (ADT), a fully automated and objective version of the original Dvorak technique, has been used by JTWC since 2004. On average, the \( T \) number estimation is better than older version of Dvorak technique (Velden et al. 2006; Olander and Velden 2007).

We have compared the JTWC intensity with that of Japan Meteorological Agency (JMA) at a time interval of 24 h before and after the CE formation. The JMA intensity is generally weaker than that of JTWC by some 20% because JMA uses a 10-min sustained wind while JTWC uses a 1-min sustained wind. On the other hand, the correlation of the two intensities is high with \( R^2 = 0.9 \). The correlation degraded 12 h before the CE formation to \( R^2 = 0.8 \). The \( R^2 \) correlation further decreased to 0.7 after the CE formation. This may indicate the CE formation may affect the intensity determination in different agencies. Recent field experiments such as the Tropical Cyclone Structure 2008 (TCS-08) and the Impact of Typhoon on the Ocean in the Pacific 2010 (ITOP-10) aircraft data (Hawkins and Velden 2011) may be used to improve the satellite intensity estimation.

The environmental parameters used in this study are the 850–700- and 700–500-hPa relative humidity (RH), vertical wind shear (850–200 hPa), sea surface temperature (SST), ocean heat content (OHC), and maximum potential intensity (MPI). These data are obtained from STIPS database.

b. Image processing

The microwave satellite images published on the NRL website are reprocessed using the Backus–Gilbert theory of Poe (1990) to create high-resolution (1–2 km) products that can assist in defining inner-storm structural details (Hawkins and Helveston 2004; Hawkins et al. 2006). The resampling method of Poe (1990) is optimal in the sense that it attempts to preserve the spatial resolution of the antenna gain function associated with the sampled radiometer data. These images are stored as 800 \( \times \) 800 pixel color jpeg files that are composed with red (R), green (G), and blue (B) colors. Owing to the orbital satellite swath width, some portion of the image may not have data. The missing areas are filled with the nearest pass of geostationary satellite imageries (Hawkins et al. 2001). These parts of pixels have the same R, G, and B values as the visible and
infrared channels that are displayed in grayscale. Moreover, the coast lines and coordinate lines are shown by white color with R, G, and B color values of 255. In processing the data, the pixels that contain geostationary satellite imagery, coast lines and coordinate lines are first removed from the NRL jpeg files. Subsequently, the remaining pixels are converted into R, G, and B components in order to determine the high resolution $T_B$ based on the color table provided in the satellite picture.

c. **Concentric eyewall recognition**

To identify CE typhoons, the $T_B$ dataset is transformed from Cartesian to polar coordinates with the TC center as the origin. The TC center is determined based on the JTWC best-track data at the time closest to satellite observation as the TC center. Occasionally, some subjective adjustment was required to fix the TC center when the time gap between the JTWC data and satellite data was large. In some cases, the eye centers were difficult to determine precisely either because of the irregular shape of the eye or in the situation of very large TC eye. We have performed tests to show that the radial profiles are not sensitive to a five pixel (or 10 km) shift in the TC position. There were also difficulties in defining the TC center when the TC was weak. These weak TCs, however, almost always occurred at the beginning or near the end of the TC life cycle, and therefore the CE rarely existed. We have compared JTWC TC center position with that of JMA and STIPS. The difference between JTWC and JMA center positions is on the average about 10 km. The JTWC center positions are nearly identical to that of the STIPS. Wimmers and Velden (2010) proposed the Automatic Rotational Center Hurricane Eye Retrieval (ARCHER) method to find the center of hurricane. The accuracy of the ARCHER-estimated center position is 9 km for category 1–5 hurricanes. It appears that 10 km may be the uncertainty range.

To further smooth the data and also to be consistent with the center position uncertainty of 10 km, we employ five pixel averages in the radial direction and a 45° sector average of $T_B$ to obtain eight radial profiles for the bin data. In each bin of the radial profile, the $T_B$ mean value and the standard deviation $\sigma$ within each 45° sector were calculated. By carefully examining the characteristics of the CE typhoons as in Kuo et al. (2009), an objective method is developed to identify the CE typhoon from the eight radial profiles of $T_B$ data. The method involves the following five sequential steps:

1) Within 150-km distance of the TC center, check for the existence of one local maximum $T_B$ (weak convection) between two minimum $T_B$ (strong convection) in each profile representing the average of each 45° section.
2) Check in each profile that the local $T_B$ maximum and minimum satisfy the criteria of $T_{B_{\text{max}}} \geq \sigma_{\text{outer}_\text{min}} + T_{B_{\text{outer}_\text{min}}} \text{ and } T_{B_{\text{min}}} \geq \sigma_{\text{inner}_\text{min}} + T_{B_{\text{inner}_\text{min}}}$.  
3) For the radial profiles that satisfy the criteria 1 and 2, check if $T_{B_{\text{inner}_\text{min}}} \leq 230$ K.
4) Check if at least five out of eight sectors/profiles satisfy the above three criteria.
5) Check if the radial distance between any sectors of the two outer eyewalls is smaller than 50 km.²

Criterion 1 identifies the existence of the structure that resembles the moat and the double eyewall in each of the eight radial profiles. Criterion 2 ensures that the moat is significant and criterion 3 ensures that the outer eyewall has strong convection (Kuo et al. 2009). Criterion 4 ensures axisymmetry and criterion 5 ensures that the outer eyewall identified is not a spiral band. Figure 1 gives an example of the CE Typhoon Oliwa (1997) and the no-CE Typhoon Vamco (2009) and their associated $T_B$ radial profiles. Note that the $T_B$ is set to decrease upward so that a low $T_B$ value (deep convection) is high in the coordinate. It is clear from Fig. 1 that both typhoons have the $T_B$ profiles of two local minima (two eyewalls) and one maximum in between the minima (the moat). The no-CE Typhoon Vamco (2009) is not classified as a CE typhoon based on our criterion 5, with the convection in the outer eyewall identified as a spiral band.

Regarding the axisymmetry consideration, Hawkins and Helveston (2004) used a one-half circle criterion to identify CE typhoons. Our criterion 4 of ½ azimuthal coverage is stricter than that of Hawkins and Helveston (2004). If we chose ½ profiles for the CE criteria, only 65 CE cases would have been identified. We employ the ½ criterion to ensure the CE typhoons identified are axisymmetric with a significant moat and a strong convective outer eyewall while retaining enough cases for statistics. The objective method allows us to systematically identify CE typhoons from a very large pool of data. Table 1 shows the number of SSM/I and TMI images that were processed, recognized CE images, cases and TCs, and repeated CE formation cases. We examined 26774 SSM/I and TMI satellite images from the ²Following the suggestion of the reviewer, we have also used the criterion that the radial distance between any sectors of the two outer eyewalls is smaller than 25% of the outer eyewall mean radius. The 25% criterion is reasonable in that the degree of spiraling out is proportional to the size of the storms. We found that both criteria produce the same results in our study.
NRL website. Out of these, 95 CE cases with 234 CE images were identified, including 16 cases of multiple CE formation. There are 77 CE typhoons identified. The CE structure exists in approximately 35% (64%) of the typhoons with category 1 (category 4) or greater intensity from 1997 to 2011 in the western North Pacific basin. Note that the objective method misses one case (Typhoon Tina 1997) that would have been identified using subjective identification of Kuo et al. (2009). The rejection of the case as CE is because of the 230-K outer eyewall criterion.

Kuo et al. (2000) studied the merger of tropical cyclones Zeb and Alex. Their analysis based on GMS IR image is from 1800 UTC 9 October to 1800 UTC 12 October. The observed merger processes appear to be in good agreement with the straining out regime of Dritschel and Waugh (1992), in that Alex was quickly elongated and wrapped cyclonically around Zeb to become a spiral band of Zeb. Our analysis indicated that, shortly after 1800 UTC 12 October, a CE structure of Typhoon Zeb possessed a CE structure at 2234 UTC 12 October 1998 (not shown). This case provides an example of CE formation by binary TC vortex interaction (Kuo et al. 2004).

![Color-enhanced microwave CE imageries of Typhoons (a) Oliwa (1997) and (b) Vamco (2009). The averaged T_b profiles of eight radial directions for Typhoon Oliwa are conformed to the CE-determined criteria. The secondary T_b minimum for Typhoon Vamco only identified spiral outer rainband. (solid green: WNW, solid yellow: WSW, solid red: SSW, solid blue: NNW, dash green: ENE, dash yellow: ESE, dash red: SSE, and dash blue: NNE).](image)

**Fig. 1.** Color-enhanced microwave CE imageries of Typhoons (a) Oliwa (1997) and (b) Vamco (2009). The averaged \( T_b \) profiles of eight radial directions for Typhoon Oliwa are conformed to the CE-determined criteria. The secondary \( T_b \) minimum for Typhoon Vamco only identified spiral outer rainband. (solid green: WNW, solid yellow: WSW, solid red: SSW, solid blue: NNW, dash green: ENE, dash yellow: ESE, dash red: SSE, and dash blue: NNE).

| TABLE 1. Numbers of SSM/I images, TMI images, recognized CE images and cases, TC, and repeated CE formation cases obtained from the NRL website. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Total Images | CE Images | CE Cases | TC | Repeated CE formation cases |
| SSM/I | 16431 | 234 | 95 | 77 | Twice 14 |
| TMI | 10343 | 3 times | 2 |
The results indicated that two TC of different sizes were with mutual cyclonic rotation, followed by the complete straining out of Alex into a spiral band of Zeb and finally the formation of CE structure in Zeb.

To further quantify our results, the inner eyewall radius was defined as the distance between the typhoon center to the point where \( T_B = 0.5 \times \sigma_{\text{inner}} + T_{B_{\text{outer}}} \). The moat width was defined by the distance between the points of \( T_B \geq 0.5 \times \sigma_{\text{outer}} + T_{B_{\text{outer}}} \) and \( T_B \geq 0.5 \times \sigma_{\text{inner}} + T_{B_{\text{outer}}} \). Finally, the outer eyewall width was defined by the distance of the region that satisfies \( T_B < 0.5 \times \sigma_{\text{outer}} + T_{B_{\text{outer}}} \) in the outer eyewall region. The inner eyewall radius, the moat width, and the outer eyewall width were calculated by averaging the radial profiles of the eight azimuthal sections shown in Fig. 1.

### 3. Intensity and structural changes

To study the structural and intensity changes of CE typhoons, 25 cases were excluded when the typhoon center was within 200 km from land in the period of 24 h before and after CE formation, or where the satellite temporal resolution was greater than 12 h. There were 70 CE cases analyzed. Following the work of Hawkins and Helveston (2008), three different structural change processes were defined after CE formation. The ERC cases were classified based upon the dissipation of the inner eyewall in less than 20 h after CE formation. The ERCs repeated multiple times classification is treated as separate CE case here. The cases in which part of the outer eyewall dissipates within 20 h are classified as no replacement cycle (NRC) cases. The cases where the CE structure is maintained for more than 20 h (and the inner cores in the sequence of imagery have a similar size) are classified as concentric eyewall maintained (CEM) cases. The similar inner core size requirement was used to avoid assigning a CE typhoon with multiple ERC processes into one single CEM case.

Sitkowski et al. (2011) indicated the microwave imagery CE structure is visible nearly 18 h after the CE structure is first observed in the aircraft observations. Because the observed replacement cycle is 36 h, and the CE structure may simultaneously disappeared both in the aircraft and microwave data, the ERC time scale is about 18 h. Thus, the 20-h criterion is in accordance with observations of Sitkowski et al. (2011). Moreover, the criterion is larger than the characteristic time of ERC from the observations (Kuo et al. 2004; Willoughby et al. 1982; Willoughby and Black 1996) and from numerical modeling (Terwey and Montgomery 2008; Qiu et al. 2010; Zhou and Wang 2009).

Knaff et al. (2003) suggested that annular hurricane (AH) formation is preceded by a significant asymmetric mixing event in which possible mesovortices mix eyewall air into the eye and vice versa. Zhou and Wang (2009) presented model simulations of CE lead to the formation of AH in 6 h. We have also examined the possibility of AH in our dataset. We do not have a definite conclusion because of the lack of high-temporal-resolution satellite data. On the other hand, our identification of CEM and NRC cases will not be affected by the possible AH pathway in ERC. We will incorporate the AMSR-E and SSM/IS data for better temporal resolution to study this mechanism in the future.

The ERC classification had 37 out of 70 cases (53%). The CEM and NRC classification had 16 (23%) and 17 cases (24%). Examples of the three classifications for the CE processes are shown in Fig. 2. In addition to the \( T_B \) images, two half-plane averaged \( T_B \) radial profiles are shown with respect to the center of the storm. Of all the possible four pairs of two half planes from the eight radial profiles, the average profiles shown in Fig. 2 are the pair with the greatest asymmetries. The \( T_B \) profiles indicate that Typhoon Saomai (2000) is an ERC case, with the inner core dissipated approximately 12 h after CE formation. Typhoons Haitang (2005) and Ewiniar (2006) are NRC cases, where the inner eyewall was barely maintained while the outer eyewall disappeared appreciably into a half circle. This NRC cases are similar to “the shear stop ERC mode” classified by Hawkins and Helveston (2008). The dissipation of the outer eyewall may be related to an environmental factor such as the vertical shear. This is examined further in section 4. Typhoons Winnie (1997), Dianmu (2004), and Chaba (2004) are CEM cases that maintain their CE structure for approximately 36 h. These cases have large moat widths (137, 45, and 70 km, respectively) and large outer eyewall widths (137, 64, and 85 km, respectively). The CEM cases resemble “the large radius outer eyewall and CE structure maintained for a time cases” of Hawkins and Helveston (2008). Note that there is significant asymmetric convection outside of the inner core 12 h before CE formation in most of the examples in Fig. 2. Kuo et al. (2004) also noted asymmetric convection outside the core of Typhoon Lekima (2001). Figure 3 shows the composite time series of (Fig. 3a) strong convection percentage \( (T_B \leq 230 \text{ K}) \) and (Fig. 3b) weak convection percentage \( (230 < T_B \leq 270 \text{ K}) \) for ERC, CEM, NRC, and for the NCE cases (no-CE typhoons with intensity of category 4 or greater). The composite was made relative to the CE formation time or the peak intensity.

---

3 Excluding the cases where TC centers were within 200 km close to land in the time period of 48 h before and after the peak intensity.
Fig. 2. The imagery sequences and averaged $T_b$ radial profile for (a) Typhoon Saomai 2000-ERC, (b) Haitang 2005-NRC, (c) Ewiniar 2006-NRC, (d) Winnie 1997-CEM, (e) Dianmu 2004-CEM, and (f) Chaba 2004-CEM.
intensity time. Figure 3 indicates that the areal percentage of strong convection is largest for the CEM cases, followed by the ERC, NRC, and NCE cases. The overall areal coverage of weak convection is greater than that of the strong convection. Figure 3 indicates that the strong and weak convection all decrease after CE formation (peak intensity) in the NRC (NCE) cases. The areal coverage of weak convection also decreases after CE formation for the ERC and CEM cases (Fig. 3b). On the other hand, the deep convection increases for 24 h (CEM) and is maintained for 12 h (ERC) after CE formation (Fig. 3a). We also test the no-CE typhoons with intensity of categories 1–3. The result is similar to NCE cases except that the range of the scale is reduced.

Figure 4 shows the composite time series of intensities and normalized intensity for the ERC, CEM, and NRC cases as well as the average of the total CE sample. The normalized intensities associated with average tropical cyclones in the Atlantic (EA) and western North Pacific (EW) that did not encounter cold water or make landfall as reported by Emanuel (2000), and annular hurricanes (KK) as reported by Knaff et al. (2003) are plotted for comparison. The composite
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**Fig. 3.** Composite time series of the percentage for (a) strong convection ($T_B \geq 230$ K) and (b) weak convection ($230 < T_B < 270$ K) for ERC (blue), CEM (red), NRC (green), and NCE (black). The composite was done relative to the time of CE formation (maximum intensity) for the CE (NCE) cases. The numbers of cases for each category are indicated.
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**Fig. 4.** Composite time series of (a) intensity and (b) normalized intensity for the ERC, CEM, NRC cases, and the all CE cases. The normalized intensities associated with average tropical cyclones in the Atlantic (EA) and western North Pacific (EW) that did not encounter cold water or make landfall as reported by Emanuel (2000), and annular hurricanes (KK) as reported by Knaff et al. (2003) are plotted for comparison. The composite was computed relative to CE formation time (for all CE cases), annular hurricane formation time (for Knaff et al.’s cases), or the maximum intensity time (for NCE cases and Emanuel’s cases). The number of cases is indicated next to each line.
was computed relative to the CE formation time (for all CE cases), annular hurricane formation time (for Knaff et al. 2003 cases), or the maximum intensity time (for NCE cases and Emanuel’s cases). Figure 4a suggests that the average intensity of CEM cases is stronger than that of the ERC and NRC cases before and after CE formation. In particular, the CEM storms intensified continuously for 18 h after CE formation and maintained the intensity for another 24 h. The composite intensity of ERC and NRC cases are similar before CE formation. However, the intensity of NRC decreases appreciably after CE formation. It is interesting to note that both the ERC and NRC cases reintensified approximately 48 h after CE formation. The ERC cases intensity change may be related to the outer eyewall contracting inward while it conserves angular momentum. The NRC cases are related to the vertical wind shear reduction (not shown). Figure 4b indicates that a key feature of CE formation appears to be the maintenance of a relatively high intensity for a longer duration, rather than a rapid intensification process to a high intensity. The stronger core intensity may play a pivotal role in the axisymmetrization dynamics of asymmetric convection outside the core to produce the CE structure (Kuo et al. 2004). Hence and Houze (2012) studied the vertical structure of CE hurricane from TRMM precipitation radar. Their results suggest that secondary eyewalls form from low-level rainbands that undergo axisymmetrization. Knaff et al. (2003) showed that AH tend to experience a long period of steady intensity with a relatively slowly decreasing intensity. Figure 4b suggests that, on the average, the intensity for all the CE cases tends to decrease more slowly than that of the TCs identified in Emanuel (2000) in the first 18 h after CE formation, and the intensity for the CEM cases decreases at a rate that is slower than that of the annular cases (Knaff et al. 2003) in the 36 h after CE formation. Our results suggest that the CEM cases are CE typhoons with higher intensity and more convective activity (CA) for a longer period of time.

Maclay et al. (2008) use aircraft data to construct the $K$–$V_{max}$ diagram for the intensity and structural changes. The aircraft reconnaissance data used to calculate the areal integrated kinetic energy in the $K$–$V_{max}$ diagram is not available in the western North Pacific basin. Therefore, the structural and intensity variability is illustrated here using the $T$–$V_{max}$ diagram (where $T$ is the $T_B$ and $V_{max}$ is the best-track estimated intensity), so that a time sequence of intensities and CA can be shown. The CA is indicated by the areal averaged $T_B$ contrast to the background $T_B$ in the 400 km$^2$ area of satellite imagery centered at the eye ($CA = -\frac{T_B}{T_{B0}} - T_{B0}$). The background $T_{B0}$ is calculated as the highest 5% of $T_B$ in the 400 km$^2$ area. The 400 km$^2$ box in general is sufficient to cover the structure of CE typhoons. A lower value of the areal averaged $T_B$ implies stronger CA. Our analysis suggests that a decrease in the areal averaged $T_B$ and increase in CA is often associated with an increase of the deep convection area ($T_B \equiv 230$ K).

Figure 5 shows the $T$–$V_{max}$ diagram for the no-CE Typhoon Rammasun (2008), the ERC Typhoon Babs (1998), the CEM Typhoon Maemi (2003), and the NRC Typhoon Shanshan (2006). The no-CE Typhoon Rammasun is at category 4 intensity over the ocean far from the land. Figure 5 indicates that both the intensity and CA of Typhoon Rammasun increased before it reached the maximum intensity [135 kt ($\sim 69.4$ m s$^{-1}$)] in its lifetime; the maximum intensity was maintained for a period of time (12 h) while CA decreased, and then both the intensity and CA decreased after approximately 36 h. The intensity and CA decrease in the 12-h period after CE formation in the NRC Typhoon Shanshan. On the other hand, the intensities of ERC Typhoon Babs and CEM Typhoon Maemi decreased after CE formation, even though their CA remained steady or increased slightly. The maintenance or a slight increase of the CA for the CEM and ERC cases is in general agreement with the notion that the CE typhoon can lead to storm growth (Maclay et al. 2008). The decrease of areal averaged $T_B$ (due to the increase of deep convection) and the increase of kinetic energy both occurred after the ERC process.

Figure 6 shows the $T$–$V_{max}$ diagrams for average values of intensity and CA for the NCE typhoons (9 cases), ERC typhoons (37 cases), CEM typhoons (16 cases), and NRC typhoons (17 cases). The time period plotted in Fig. 6 is 48 h before and after the peak intensity/CE formation. Figure 6 indicates that the time sequence of the average $V_{max}$ and CA (in terms of $T_B$ contrast) is similar to that in Fig. 5. On average, the CEM and the ERC cases have stronger CA after CE formation. In particular, the average for the CEM cases indicates significant CA increase 24 h after CE formation. We also test the no-CE typhoons with intensity of categories 1–3. The result is similar to NCE cases except the range of $T_B$ and Vmax variations are reduced.

4 Typhoons Winnie (1997) and Amber (1997) were very large. These quantities are calculated using a 600 km$^2$ box.

4 Structure characteristics and environmental factors

To further explore the characteristics of moat width in CE typhoons, scatterplots of the moat width and outer
eyewall width, and the moat width and intensity are shown in Fig. 7. We also include the average values of moat width, outer eyewall width, and intensity in the figure. Figure 7 indicates that the outer eyewall width is larger with a larger moat width ($R^2 = 0.5$). All the CEM cases have moat widths greater than 40 km. In particular, the CEM cases on average have slightly higher intensities (63 m s$^{-1}$), larger moat widths (61 km), and larger outer eyewall widths (70 km) than that of ERC and NRC cases. The average moat width of the CEM case is about 20 km larger than that of the NRC and ERC cases.

Even when excluding Typhoon Winnie (1997), which had an exceptional 138-km moat width in our CEM cases, the average moat width of CEM cases is still 12 (14) km wider than that of the NRC (ERC) cases. The four CEM cases with weaker intensities of categories 2 and 3 in Fig. 7 all have both very large moat width (larger than 60 km) and outer eyewall width (larger than 80 km). In general, the moat size and outer eyewall width are approximately 50% larger in the CEM cases than that in the ERC and NRC cases.

The very large moat and outer eyewall width in the CEM cases may have some implications for the long duration of CE structure. Willoughby (1979) presented a scale analysis on the validity of the balance model and the transverse circulation equation in the TC. Rozoff et al. (2008) used the balanced model transverse circulation equation to study the ERC dynamics. In this case, the scale analysis may provide insights into the long duration of CE structure in CEM cases.
manner, the balance dynamics of the CE is scale free; namely, the dynamics may occur in different scales where the balance equation assumption is valid. Thus, it is possible that the larger CE storms simply end up taking much longer time to contract because of their larger scale. Rozoff et al. (2008) showed that the decay of the inner eyewall may be related to the fact that the upper warm core has a larger stabilization effect on the convection in the inner eyewall than it does on the convection in the outer eyewall. The stabilization effect of upper warm core argument cannot explain why the inner eyewall is maintained for such a long time in the CEM cases.

On the other hand, the occurrence of barotropic instability (e.g., Kossin et al. 2000) will invalidate the axisymmetric balance assumption. Kossin et al. (2000) identified two types of barotropic instabilities in the vorticity field with CE structure; the instabilities across the outer eyewall (the type I) and across the moat (the type II) due to the sign reversal of the radial vorticity gradient (i.e., the Rayleigh necessary condition). These instabilities may work against the maintenance of the CE structure. The large moat size in the CEM cases has two dynamic implications. It reduces the growth rate of the type II instability across the moat which is favorable for the CE structure maintenance; and it also lessens the stabilization of the core vortex on the type I instability across the outer eyewall (i.e., the Fjørtoft sufficient condition), which is not favorable for the CE maintenance. As demonstrated by Kossin et al. (2000), the thicker outer eyewall is more stable for the type I instability, which is favorable for maintaining the outer eyewall

FIG. 6. The averaged $T_R$ and intensity changes in 48 h before and after the peak intensity/CE formation for the NCE, ERC, CEM, and NRC cases. Case numbers are given in parentheses. Note that the plotting scale is slightly different in each case.
structure. These observations of the large outer eyewall and moat widths are in general agreement with the concept that barotropic dynamics may play a significant role in maintaining the CE structure for CEM cases.

Finally, we note that the large moat size in the CEM cases may have an impact on the convection and subsidence in both eyewalls. The interference between the convection/subsidence couplet of the inner and outer eyewalls may be reduced when the moat size is very large. The large moat size may assist the inner core by suppressing potentially competing convection while the subsidence concentrated radial outward may make it less likely to penetrate to the eyewall. Zhou and Wang (2011), in the modeling study, revealed the demise of the inner eyewall is primarily due to the interception of the boundary layer inflow supply of entropy by the outer eyewall. The interception process becomes inefficient when the moat size is large. Since the CEM cases are with long duration of significant storm size, the dynamics of large moat CEM cases deserves closer investigation.

Rozoff et al. (2006) proposed that a rapid filamentation zone outside the radius of maximum wind in strong TCs may play a role, in addition to the subsidence, on the moat formation in the CE TC. The rapid filamentation zone is a region of strain-dominated flow where the filamentation time is shorter than the typical moist convective overturning time of 30 min. The nondimensional filamentation width in the axisymmetric model is $d_f/r_0 = \sqrt{\alpha \xi_0 \tau_{\text{con}}}^{1/2} + \alpha - 1$, where $r_0$ is the satellite estimated core size; $\xi_0$ is the core vorticity strength estimated by JTWC intensity $r_0 \tau_{\text{con}}$ is the convective overturning time of 30 min; and $\alpha$ is the vorticity skirted parameter. The derivation of the nondimensional formula is given in Kuo et al. (2009). The typical vorticity skirted parameter is $0.5 \leq \alpha \leq 1$. We find our results are insensitive to the choice of $\alpha$.

Figure 8 gives the variance $R^2$ of the observed nondimensional moat width that is explained by the nondimensional filamentation width for all CE, CEM, ERC, and NRC typhoons. The nondimensional width calculation is done according to the core size $r_0$ from the satellite observations. Figure 8 indicates that the filamentation moat width explains more than 55% of the variance of the satellite observed moat width. In particular, the CEM (NRC) cases are explained by 54% (60%) and the filamentation dynamics appear to be relevant to the moat size variation. This result does not suggest that subsidence is not important in the maintenance of the CE typhoon moat; rather, it may be that in a strong TC the subsidence is confined to the edge of the deep convection by inertial stability and is therefore less likely to be uniform in the moat region (analogous to the eye subsidence distribution study of Schubert et al. 2007). It indicates that vortex scale filamentation dynamics lead to the organization of moat size. Kuo et al. (2012) also found that the vortex-scale filamentation dynamics may be important in suppressing deep convection and organizing spiral bands in the TC environment. Figures 7 and 8 suggest that the internal structure of CE typhoons, such as the general high intensity with the large widths of the moat and outer eyewall, may be important for the maintenance of the CE structure in the CEM cases.

Figure 9 shows the locations of CE formation on the tracks 24 h before and after the formation for the CEM (red), ERC (blue), and NRC (green) cases. The two triangle symbols are the average positions at the time of CE formation and 24 h afterward, respectively. Most CEM cases are located west of 140°E with the smallest northward translation speed of 2.9 m s$^{-1}$ (Table 2). This is in general agreement with Fig. 8 in that typhoons tend to be more intense in the western part of Pacific after a long journey over ocean. On the other hand, the NRC cases have a larger northward translation speed of 4.8 m s$^{-1}$. Even though most NRC cases start in the latitudes farther north (north of 18°N) than that of the CEM and ERC cases, they are farther separated in latitudinal distance because of the fast northward translation speed of NRC cases. Typically 24 h after CE formation, the NRC cases are in the high latitudes, where the vertical shear is larger and the SST is lower.

The composite time series with respect to CE formation time for the SST, 850–200-hPa vertical shear, and
850–700- and 700–500-hPa RH, OHC, and the MPI (Emanuel 1997) from the STIPS data are shown in Fig. 10. The standard deviations (SDs) are not plotted for presentation clarity. On average, the SST, RH, OHC, and MPI decrease and vertical shear increases with time because typhoons in general move toward the northwest direction. The large northern translation speed of the NRC cases causes them to experience colder SST, larger vertical wind shear, smaller RH, smaller OHC, and smaller MPI 24 h after CE formation. The large vertical shear, cool SST, low RH, and small OHC are consistent with the sharp decrease of CA and intensity in NRC cases. The dissipation of the outer eyewall in the NRC cases presumably may also be related to the strong vertical shear in the high latitudes (Hawkins and Helveston 2008). On the other hand, the CEM cases were under small vertical wind shear; high SST, OHC, and MPI; and high RH throughout the period of CE formation. These

5 The SDs of SST, vertical shear, OHC, and MPI are small (approximately 0.5°C, 2 m s⁻¹, 22 kJ cm⁻², and 4 m s⁻¹) in the CEM cases throughout the period. In contrast, the SDs in the NRC cases increase with time, with approximately 2°C, 5 m s⁻¹, 38 kJ cm⁻², and 22 m s⁻¹ values at 24 h after the CE formation. The SDs of the ERC cases are slightly smaller than that of the NRC cases. The SDs of RH are similar (approximately 6%) in all cases.
favorable environment factors may help CEM cases maintain their intensity and eyewall structures. It is interesting to note that favorable environmental conditions are conductive to the formation and maintenance of the initial (primary) eyewall in Atlantic TC (Vigh et al. 2012). Figure 10 suggests that the environmental conditions play a role in the structural and intensity changes of CEM and NRC typhoons.

Kossin and Sitkowski (2009) stated that the environment plays a significant additional role in modulating the ERC process. Our results agree with this statement as shown by the various environmental changes for all of our ERC classifications (Fig. 10). Our analysis in Figs. 7–10 indicates that the internal dynamics and environmental conditions may both be important in the CEM cases, and that the NRC cases are strongly influenced by the large-scale external dynamics. The ERC cases experienced the least amount of variation in the large-scale environment, which is suggestive that internal dynamics may be playing the pivotal role in governing inner-core intensity and structure changes.

5. Summary

An objective method is developed to categorize concentric eyewall (CE) structures in western North Pacific typhoons using the NRL SSM/I and TMI satellite imagery database. There are 77 CE typhoons and 95 CE cases identified from 26,774 satellite images between 1997 and 2011. Excluding the cases that are close to landfall and cases without temporal resolution of 12 h or higher, 70 CE cases were studied for the structural and intensity changes. The primary findings are as follows.

1) Three CE types are categorized: CE with an eyewall replacement cycle (ERC; 37 cases, 53%), CE with no replacement cycle (NRC; 17 cases, 24%), and CE that is maintained for an extended period of time (CEM; 16 cases, 23%). The inner (outer) eyewall dissipates in the ERC (NRC) type in less than 20 h after CE formation. The CEM type maintains its CE structure for more than 20 h (mean duration time is 31 h).

2) The no-CE typhoons with intensity category 4 or above and far from land (NCE) have increased intensity and convection before they reach their maximum intensity and decreased intensity and convection afterward. The CE storms show quite different behavior than that of the NCE storms in terms of the structural and intensity change. This is illustrated with the $T$–$V_{\text{max}}$ diagram, in which the time sequence of intensities and the convective

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>ERC</th>
<th>CEM</th>
<th>NRC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Zonal translation speed (m s$^{-1}$)</td>
<td>Avg</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>3.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SD</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>1.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meridional translation speed (m s$^{-1}$)</td>
<td>Avg</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>2.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SD</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>2.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Avg translation speed (m s$^{-1}$)</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>6.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SD (m s$^{-1}$)</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>2.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
activity (CA) are shown. The CA is computed as the areal averaged $T_B$ contrast to the background centered at the eye. While the storm intensity weakens after CE formation, the CA is maintained or slightly increased in the ERC and CEM cases. This is in contrast to the NRC cases, where both CA and intensity decrease.

3) The CEM cases have relatively high-intensity, large widths of both the moat and outer eyewall that last for a long duration time. The moat size and outer eyewall width in the CEM cases are approximately 50% larger than that in the ERC and NRC cases. Our analysis suggests that vortex-scale filamentation dynamics lead to the organization of moat size. The CEM type may be simply end up taking much longer time to contract because of their larger scale. On the other hand, the large widths of the moat and outer eyewall may reduce the barotropic instabilities of CE storms thus maintain the CE structures for a long time. The large moat size in the CEM cases may have an impact on the convection and subsidence in both eyewalls. The interference between the convection/subsidence couplet of the inner and outer eyewalls may be reduced when the moat size is very large. The

FIG. 10. The time series of (a) SST, (b) 850–200-hPa vertical shear, (c) 850–700-hPa RH, (d) 700–500-hPa RH, (e) ocean heat content, and (f) maximum potential intensity for ERC (blue), CEM (red), NRC (green), and all cases (black) 24 h before and after CE formation. The composite was done relative to the time of CE formation.
large moat size may assist the inner core by suppressing potentially competitive convection while the subsidence concentrated radial outward making it less likely to penetrate to the eyewall. Since the CEM cases are with long duration of significant storm size, the dynamics require more study in the future.

4) Most CEM cases in the western North Pacific are located to the west of 140°E with the smallest northward translation speed of 2.9 m s$^{-1}$. The NRC cases often have fast northward translation speeds and are located in higher latitudes. The high vertical wind shear, low sea surface temperature (SST), and low relative humidity (RH) act to weaken the convective activity for these cases. On the other hand, the CEM cases occur in environments of low vertical wind shear, high SST, and high RH. Our results suggest that both the internal dynamics and environmental conditions are important in the CEM cases, and the NRC cases are more influenced by the large-scale external dynamics. The ERC cases, on the other hand, may be dominated by the internal dynamics because of the less appreciable change of the environment.
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